



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)

(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Superintendent of Public Instruction

- Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 15-13-120 ; or
- Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or
- Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).

- Original Notice
- Supplemental Notice to WSR _____
- Continuance of WSR _____

Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)

Amending WAC 392-502-030 (Approval assurances, criteria, and performance targets) to exempt online school programs, single-district providers, and affiliate providers from the WAC 392-502-030's accreditation requirement.

Hearing location(s): Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
Policy Conference Room
600 S. Washington Street
Olympia, WA 98504

Date: September 23, 2015 Time: 1:00pm

Submit written comments to:

Name: Leslie St. Pierre, Manager, Online Courses
Address: 4507 University Way NE, Suite 204
Seattle, WA 98105
e-mail Leslie.St.Pierre@k12.wa.us
fax (360) 753-6712 by (date) September 23, 2015

Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact

Kristin Murphy by September 16, 2015

TTY (360) 664-3631 or (360) 725-6133

Date of intended adoption: September 25, 2015

(Note: This is NOT the effective date)

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:

Under WAC 392-502-030, online providers—including public schools providing online courses and programs—must assure the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) that their online programs are accredited through a designated accrediting organization. Requiring third party accreditation of online school programs imposes costs on public school districts, can be redundant, and is not consistent with OSPI's adoption of new online provider performance targets. The purpose of this proposed amendment to WAC 392-502-030 is to exempt online school programs, single-district providers, and affiliate providers from the rule's accreditation requirement.

Reasons supporting proposal:

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 28A.150.290; RCW 28A.250.020

Statute being implemented: RCW 28A.250.020

Is rule necessary because of a:

- Federal Law? Yes No
 - Federal Court Decision? Yes No
 - State Court Decision? Yes No
- If yes, CITATION:

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: August 17, 2015

TIME: 11:46 AM

WSR 15-17-073

DATE
8/17/15

NAME (type or print)
Randy I. Dorn

SIGNATURE

TITLE
Superintendent of Public Instruction

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

Name of proponent: (person or organization)

- Private
- Public
- Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting..... Leslie St. Pierre	4507 University Way NE, Suite 204, Seattle, WA 98105	(206) 616-9940
Implementation....Leslie St. Pierre	4507 University Way NE, Suite 204, Seattle, WA 98105	(206) 616-9940
Enforcement..... Peter Tamayo	600 Washington St SE, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-3631

Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?

Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.

A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone () _____

fax () _____

e-mail _____

No. Explain why no statement was prepared.

Not applicable

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone () _____

fax () _____

e-mail _____

No: Please explain: not applicable